



## Equality Impact Assessment: Renewal of the Public Spaces Protection Order

The Equality Act 2010 includes a general duty which requires public authorities, in the exercise of their functions, to have due regard to the need to:

- **Eliminate discrimination**, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Act.
- **Advance equality of opportunity** between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and people who do not share it.
- **Foster good relations** between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not

In order to comply with the general duty authorities must assess the impact on equality of decisions, policies and practices. These duties do not prevent the authority from reducing services where necessary, but they offer a way of developing proposals that consider the impacts on all members of the community.

Authorities which fail to carry out equality impact assessments risk making poor and unfair decisions which may discriminate against particular groups and worsen inequality.

Committee name and date:	Report Title	Decisions being recommended:	People with protected characteristics potentially impacted by the decisions to be made:
Council 10 June 2025	Renewal of the Public Spaces Protection Order	That the current PSPO order is extended for a further period of 3 years from the commencement date of 19 June 2025, with no changes to the PSPO boundary or prohibitions.	Race and ethnicity Disability Sex / Gender Age

		To continue the current policy of funding received by the Council from fixed penalty notices issued for a breach of any PSPO to be ring-fenced for initiatives to address anti-social behaviour and that this funding continues to be administered through the Exeter Community Safety Partnership.	
--	--	---	--

**Factors to consider in the assessment:** For each of the groups below, an assessment has been made on whether the proposed decision will have a **positive, negative or neutral impact**. This is must be noted in the table below alongside brief details of why this conclusion has been reached and notes of any mitigation proposed. Where the impact is negative, a **high, medium or low assessment** is given. The assessment rates the impact of the policy based on the current situation (i.e. disregarding any actions planned to be carried out in future).

**High impact** – a significant potential impact, risk of exposure, history of complaints, no mitigating measures in place etc.

**Medium impact** –some potential impact exists, some mitigating measures are in place, poor evidence

**Low impact** – almost no relevancy to the process, e.g. an area that is very much legislation led and where the Council has very little discretion

Protected characteristic/ area of interest	Positive or Negative Impact	High, Medium or Low Impact	Reason
<b>Race and ethnicity</b> (including Gypsies and Travellers; migrant workers; asylum seekers).	<b>Potential negative impact</b>	<b>Medium</b>	There is a risk that a number of people aggressively begging may be asylum seekers as they have not secured fixed accommodation at that time. The Council would make an assessment for temporary accommodation to someone in this position and the enforcement officers would first be trying to sign-post individuals into the services offered rather than simply enforce.
<b>Disability:</b> as defined by the Equality Act – a person has a disability if they have a physical or mental impairment that has a substantial and long-term	<b>Potential positive and negative impact</b>	<b>Medium</b>	The Order may well have a positive effect and ensure that people who may be sleeping rough and have an addiction, engage with the support that is available and this in turn will deliver positive health benefits to them.

<p>adverse impact on their ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities.</p>		<p>A disproportionate number of street homeless (street attached) people suffer mental ill health and addiction, and therefore present a higher risk of breaching the PSPO. To mitigate this, the Community Safety Partnership has developed a co-ordinated approach to weaken 'street attachments' and engender the positive movement of an individual into sustainable accommodation with necessary support for issues of mental health, physical health and addiction.</p> <p>The measures that have been proposed that will restrict the consumption of alcohol, and NPS's on the street could also affect those that are alcohol dependent and/or drug dependent.</p> <p>The Order may well have a positive effect and ensure that people with a street attachment and have an addiction, engage with the support that is available and this in turn will deliver positive health benefits to them. The inclusion of an ABC option within any FPN, will give a positive pathway to engagement with support services, and away from detrimental behaviour.</p>
<p><b>Sex/Gender</b></p>	<p><b>Potential positive and negative impact</b></p>	<p><b>Medium</b></p> <p>The Order may serve to help engage members of each gender that have alcohol and substances addictions with support services, thereby having a positive impact on their health.</p> <p>The majority of people sleeping rough are male. Males are also more likely to have an alcohol or substance addiction. The alcohol/intoxicating substances, and street encampment elements could disproportionately affect men. However the Order may also serve to engage those affected with support services and have a positive impact on their health.</p> <p>There is an increasing number of residents, businesses and visitors to the city who are feeling unsafe in the PSPO area due to unacceptable behaviours that are taking place. Therefore in having a clear set of measures to deal with unacceptable behaviours seeks to have a positive impact on people being able to enjoy the city safely.</p>
<p><b>Gender reassignment</b></p>	<p><b>Neutral</b></p>	<p>There is no evidence that this will impact on any specific person based on this group of individuals.</p>

<b>Religion and belief</b> (includes no belief, some philosophical beliefs such as Buddhism and sects within religions).	<b>Neutral</b>		There is no evidence that this will impact on any specific person based on their beliefs or religion.
<b>Sexual orientation</b> (including heterosexual, lesbian, gay, bisexual).	<b>Neutral</b>		There is no evidence that this will impact on any specific person based on their sexual orientation.
<b>Age</b> (children and young people aged 0-24; adults aged 25-50; younger older people aged 51-75/80; older people 81+; frail older people; people living with age related conditions. The age categories are for illustration only as overriding consideration should be given to needs).	<b>Potential positive impact</b>		Through the residents' survey, this age group reports the feeling of unsafe in the city centre sometimes. The impact of the PSPO and Community Safety Team will address this.
<b>Pregnancy and maternity</b> including new and breast feeding mothers	<b>Neutral</b>		There is no evidence that this will impact on any specific person based upon pregnancy and maternity.
<b>Marriage and civil partnership status</b>	<b>Neutral</b>		There is no evidence that this will impact on any specific person based on marriage and civil partnership status.
<b><u>Actions identified that will mitigate any negative impacts and/or promote inclusion</u></b>			

Officer: **Victoria Hatfield Head of Service City Centre & Net Zero**

Date: **June 2025**